IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.194 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.4 OF 2003

Vijay N. Gohil. ...Applicant.

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra. ...Respondent.

Mr. Niwas Kamble i/by Mr.A.M. Sarogi for the Applicant.

Mr.K.V.Saste, A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM: J.N. PATEL &
R.S. MOHITE, JJ.
DATED :10TH JANUARY,2007

P.C.

1. The learned counsel for the applicant seeks adjournment on the ground that Mr. Sarogi is in difficulty and he has no instructions. In view of the fact that the appeal has matured for hearing, we are not inclined to consider the application which itself has been filed after three years of filing of the appeal and not prosecuted for almost one year. As such, application is rejected for want of prosecution.

2. List the appeal for hearing in due course.

(J.N. PATEL, J.)

(R.S. MOHITE, J.)